Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Electron paramagnetic resonance and optical absorption spectra of Cr^{3+} ions in fluorophosphate glasses

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1999 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11 1321 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/11/5/017)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.214 The article was downloaded on 15/05/2010 at 06:57

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Electron paramagnetic resonance and optical absorption spectra of Cr³⁺ ions in fluorophosphate glasses

A Murali and J Lakshmana Rao†

Department of Physics, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati 517 502, India

Received 13 May 1998, in final form 20 October 1998

Abstract. Electron paramagnetic resonance and optical absorption studies of Cr^{3+} ions in fluorophosphate glasses have been carried out at room and low temperatures. The EPR spectra exhibit two resonances at $g \approx 4.67$ and $g \approx 2.0$. These resonances are attributed to isolated Cr^{3+} ions and exchange coupled Cr^{3+} pairs respectively. The EPR spectra were also studied at various low temperatures. The numbers of spins are found to be increasing with decreasing temperature. For the first time, the magnetic susceptibility χ was calculated from the EPR data. It was also observed that as temperature decreases χ increases in accordance with Curie's law. The line width of the $g \approx 2.0$ resonance was found to be decreasing with increasing temperature which confirms the pairing mechanism between Cr^{3+} ions. The exchange coupling constant *J* between Cr^{3+} ions was calculated from the relative intensities of $g \approx 2.0$ resonance line at various temperatures. The optical absorption spectrum exhibits four bands, characteristic of Cr^{3+} ions in octahedral symmetry. The crystal field parameter Dq and the Racah interelectronic repulsion parameters *B* and *C* were evaluated.

1. Introduction

Fluorophosphate glasses are promising host materials for optical applications because of their optical properties, low refractive indices, low dispersion and good transparency from the ultraviolet to the infrared regions of the optical spectra [1, 2]. Seeber *et al* [3] studied, in detail, the spectroscopic and laser properties of Cr^{3+} ions in fluoride phosphate and phosphate glasses. Gan Fuxi *et al* [4] studied the EPR spectra of Cr^{3+} ions in fluoride phosphate glasses at room temperature only. Since the variation of EPR line intensity with temperature allows us to calculate the exchange-interaction energy between Cr^{3+} pairs, the present investigation has been undertaken to study the EPR spectra from the room temperature to low temperature regions. Also this paper presents an attempt to calculate the magnetic susceptibility and Curie constant from low temperature EPR data.

2. Experiment

Glasses studied in the present work were kindly provided by Professor W Seeber (Germany). Table 1 gives the composition of the glasses studied in the present work as given by Seeber *et al* [3]. Approximately 100 mg of the finely powdered samples of the glasses were used for EPR measurements. The EPR spectra at room temperature were recorded on a JEOL-FE-1X ESR spectrometer operating in the X-band frequency of 9.205 GHz with a field modulation of

0953-8984/99/051321+11\$19.50 © 1999 IOP Publishing Ltd

[†] E-mail address: cybernet@cyberservices.com (attn Professor J Lakshmana Rao).

2 A Murali and J Lakshmana Rao

Table 1. Composition of glasses studied in the present work.

| Glass | \sum fluorides (mol%) | \sum phosphates (mol%) | Cr | Nd | Ce |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----|-----|------|
| WS FP 6* | 94 | 6 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.08 |
| WS FP 10* | 90 | 10 | >5 | | |

100 kHz. The magnetic field was scanned from 0 to 500 mT and the field was swept at a rate of 125 mT min⁻¹. A polycrystalline DPPH with a *g* value of 2.0036 was used as a standard field marker. The EPR spectrum of the CuSO₄.5H₂O powdered substance was recorded at 9.205 GHz as a reference to calculate the number of spins.

EPR spectra were also recorded at different temperatures. The temperature was varied using a JES-UCT-2AX variable temperature controller. A temperature stability of ± 1 K was easily obtained by waiting for about 30 minutes at the set temperature before recording the spectrum.

The optical absorption spectra of the Cr^{3+} doped fluoride phosphate glasses were recorded at room temperature on a Perkin–Elmer spectrophotometer in the wavelength range of 390 to 850 nm.

3. Results and analysis

3.1. EPR spectra

Figure 1 shows the EPR spectra of two glass samples (WS FP 6*: 0.1 Cr–0.9 Nd–0.08 Ce and WS FP 10*: Cr) at room temperature. The EPR spectrum of the WS FP 6* glass sample shows two resonance signals at $g \approx 4.67$ and $g \approx 2.0$, while the EPR spectrum of the WS FP 10* glass sample is characterized by a strong resonance in the vicinity of $g \approx 4.67$ along with a weak resonance at $g \approx 2.0$.



Figure 1. X-band EPR spectra of (a) WS FP 6^* and (b) WS FP 10^* glass samples at room temperature.

Figure 2 shows the EPR spectra of the WS FP 6* glass sample at various low temperatures. The EPR spectrum of the WS FP 10* glass sample was recorded at 123 K also and no significant changes were observed in intensity, linewidth and g values.

1322



Figure 2. X-band EPR spectra of WS FP 6* glass sample at various low temperatures.

3.2. Number of spins

The number of spins can be calculated with the help of a reference ($CuSO_4.5H_2O$ in this study) by using the formula [5]

$$N = \frac{A_x (\text{Scan}_x)^2 G_{std}(B_m)_{std} (g_{std})^2 [S(S+1)]_{std}}{A_{std} (\text{Scan}_{std})^2 G_x (B_m)_x (g_x)^2 [S(S+1)]_x} [\text{Std}]$$
(1)

where A is the area under the absorption curve which can be obtained by double integration of the first derivative absorption curve; Scan is the magnetic field corresponding to unit length of the chart; G is the gain; B_m is the modulation field width; g is the g factor; S is the spin of the system in its ground state. The subscripts x and std represent the corresponding quantities for the Cr³⁺ sample and the reference (CuSO₄.5H₂O) respectively. [Std] represents the concentration of CuSO₄.5H₂O in the reference taken.

The number of spins is calculated according to equation (1) as a function of temperature. Figure 3 shows a plot of $\log N$ against 1/T.

3.3. Paramagnetic susceptibility from EPR data

EPR data can be used to calculate the paramagnetic susceptibility of the sample using the formula

$$\chi = \frac{Ng^2\beta^2 J(J+1)}{3k_B T} \tag{2}$$

where N is the number of spins per m³; the rest having their usual meanings. N can be calculated from equation (1) and g is taken from EPR data. Paramagnetic susceptibility was calculated at various temperatures. A plot of the susceptibility χ as a function of the reciprocal of temperature is shown in figure 4.



Figure 3. Variation of log *N* against 1/T for 100 mg of WS FP 6* glass sample.



Figure 4. A plot of χ as a function of reciprocal of temperature (1/T) for the WS FP 6* glass sample.

The line width of the $g \approx 2.0$ resonance line was measured as a function of temperature. Figure 5 shows the variation of the line width ΔH as a function of absolute temperature *T*.



Figure 5. Variation of linewidth ΔH as a function of absolute temperature *T* for the $g \approx 2.0$ resonance line of the WS FP 6* glass sample.



Figure 6. Optical absorption spectrum of the WS FP 6* glass sample at room temperature.

3.4. Optical absorption spectrum

The optical absorption spectrum of the glass sample WS FP 6* was recorded in the wavelength range from 390 nm to 850 nm. Figure 6 shows the optical absorption spectrum of this sample at room temperature.

The optical absorption spectrum shows two broad bands at 445 nm (22 470 cm⁻¹) and 643 nm (15 550 cm⁻¹) along with one weak band centred at 671 nm (14 900 cm⁻¹) and a sharp band at 717 nm (13 945 cm⁻¹). The observed bands are characteristic of Cr^{3+} ions in octahedral symmetry. The two broad bands are assigned to the spin-allowed transitions ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{1g}(F)$ and ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2g}(F)$ in decreasing order of energy, while the weak

Table 2. Observed and calculated band positions along with their assignments for Cr^{3+} ions in fluorophosphate glasses ($Dq = 1555 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $B = 720 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $C = 2904 \text{ cm}^{-1}$).

| $\begin{array}{c} \text{Transition} \\ {}^{4}\text{A}_{2g}(\text{F}) \rightarrow \end{array}$ | Observed wavelength (nm) | Observed wavenumber (cm ⁻¹) | Calculated wavenumber (cm^{-1}) |
|---|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| $4T_{1g}(F)$ | 445 | 22 470 | 22 462 |
| ${}^{4}T_{2g}(F)$ | 643 | 15 550 | 15 550 |
| $^{2}T_{1g}(G)$ | 671 | 14 900 | 14 390 |
| $^{2}\mathrm{E}_{g}(\mathrm{G})$ | 717 | 13 945 | 13 525 |

band is assigned to ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{2}E_{g}(G)$ and the sharp band is assigned to ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{2}Eg(G)$ respectively. The observed band positions along with their assignments are given in table 2.

4. Discussion

4.1. EPR spectra

 Cr^{3+} ions belong to the d³ configuration with a large octahedral site preference energy (OSPE) [6]. In an octahedral field, its ground state is ${}^{4}A_{2g}$. In the presence of a low symmetry component and spin–orbit coupling, the fourfold degeneracy spin states split into two Kramers doublets. In glasses, a large separation between the two doublets leads to the resonance at g = 2 to 6 [7]. The Cr^{3+} ions may exist in glasses as both isolated Cr^{3+} ions in the glassy network and antiferromagnetic exchange coupled Cr^{3+} – Cr^{3+} pairs aggregating together [7].

EPR spectra of Cr^{3+} ions in phosphate [4, 7, 8], fluorophosphates [4], borosulphates [9], fluoroberyllates [4], fluoroaluminates [10], fluorozirconates [11] and silicates [12] were reported by many authors. In all these glasses two resonances were observed at $g \approx 5.0$ and $g \approx 2.0$; they have been attributed to isolated Cr^{3+} ions in strongly distorted sites and Cr^{3+} ion pairs [4, 8–12] respectively.

Gan Fuxi *et al* [4] studied the EPR spectra of Cr^{3+} ions in fluorophosphate glasses at room temperature only. They reported resonances at $g \approx 4.89$ and $g \approx 4.97$ for fluorophosphate glasses. In the present work, we observed resonances in the vicinity of $g \approx 4.7$ and $g \approx 2.0$.

The resonance in the high field region ($g \approx 2.0$) is observed in the high Cr^{3+} content [7]. Landry *et al* [7] attributed this phenomenon as arising due to the presence of both exchange coupled Cr^{3+} ion pairs and isolated Cr^{3+} ions. This general change in the EPR spectrum, from an initial low field absorption to one at high field with increased Cr^{3+} content implies the presence of more than one paramagnetic species in the glass. On the other hand, they found the optical absorption spectrum independent of the Cr^{3+} ion content for the whole Cr_2O_3 concentration range studied. Hence they attributed the variation in the EPR spectrum to a combination of isolated octahedrally coordinated Cr^{3+} ions and antiferromagnetic coupled pairs of Cr^{3+} ions which are individually sixfold coordinated.

Despite this, Paul and Upreti [13] observed an entirely different EPR pattern in Na₂O–B₂O₃ glasses. They observed $g_{\parallel} \approx 1.938$ and $g_{\perp} \approx 1.986$ for the entire Cr³⁺ content range studied (0.019 wt% of Cr to 1.870 wt% of Cr). Also they observed the EPR line becoming asymmetric with increasing alkali content.

In the present study, as shown in figures 1 and 2, we observed two resonances, at $g \approx 2.0$ and $g \approx 4.67$. The resonance at $g \approx 4.67$ was assigned to the isolated Cr^{3+} ions. The resonance at $g \approx 2.0$ may be due to both exchange coupled Cr^{3+} ions and isolated Cr^{3+} ions. The temperature dependent linewidth of this resonance confirms the pairing of Cr^{3+} ions (see figure 5) and hence the resonance at $g \approx 2.0$ may be attributed to exchange coupled Cr^{3+} ions. The intensities of both the resonance lines were increasing with decreasing temperature. The EPR results indicate that not all the Cr^{3+} ions are truly diluted in the glass but there is some coupling. The coupling may also appear for complex aggregates having more than two chromium ions.

Figure 3 shows the variation of the logarithm of number of spins (log N) in 100 mg of the WS FP 6* glass sample as a function of 1/T. From the figure, we can observe that the log N is varying linearly with 1/T. The data are fitted to a straight line log N = 15.4 + (170/T) by the method of least squares.

Figure 4 shows a plot of the paramagnetic susceptibility (χ) of Cr³⁺ ions in the WS FP 6* glass sample as a function of 1/T. The data is fitted to the straight line $\chi_m = (10.64 \times 10^{-3})/T - (2.79 \times 10^{-3})$. From the figure, it is clear that as temperature is increased, the susceptibility of the sample decreases obeying the Curie law. Further, the slope of the line gives the Curie constant (10.64×10^{-3}) which is of the same order expected for a paramagnetic ion [14].

Figure 5 shows the variation of the linewidth with temperature for the $g \approx 2.0$ resonance in the WS FP 6* glass sample. From the figure, it is clear that as temperature is increased, the line width decreases. Since the $g \approx 2.0$ resonance line is attributed to the Cr³⁺ pairs, a dipolar broadening may affect the linewidth as temperature is varied. In fact, this is observed in the present work. Further it may be noted that the spin–spin relaxation causes an increase of ΔH when the temperature decreases while spin–lattice relaxation normally leads to an increase of ΔH when temperature increases [15]. Similar observations in temperature dependent linewidths were reported by Baiocchi *et al* [16] and Stober and Nofz [17] for Fe³⁺ ions in glasses.

4.2. Coupling constant J between Cr^{3+} pairs

Fournier *et al* [18] gave the following expression to calculate the relative intensity at various temperatures:

$$I(T) = \frac{4\exp(-J/kT) + 20\exp(-3J/kT) + 56\exp(-6J/kT)}{1 + 3\exp(-J/kT) + 5\exp(-3J/kT) + 7\exp(-6J/kT)}$$
(3)

where J is the coupling constant between the Cr^{3+} ion pairs, k is the Boltzmann constant and T is the absolute temperature.

Fournier *et al* [18] observed that the intensity of the resonance signal at $g \approx 2$ increases with increasing temperature if exchange is antiferromagnetic. In the present case we observed, in contrast to Fournier *et al* [18], a decrease in signal intensity with increasing temperature. Haouari *et al* [8] also observed a similar phenomenon where they assigned the $g \approx 2$ resonance to the isolated Cr^{3+} ions. From the variation of linewidth of the $g \approx 2.0$ resonance line (figure 5) with temperature, we can attribute the resonance as arising due to exchange coupled Cr^{3+} ions. The decrease in intensity with increase in temperature is possible only if the exchange interaction between Cr^{3+} ion pairs is ferromagnetic in nature. Hence, in the present case the $g \approx 2.0$ resonance line is attributed to the Cr^{3+} pairs coupled through ferromagnetic interaction.

The intensities were determined by double integration of the ESR first derivative curves. The relative intensities were measured with respect to the intensity at room temperature. Figure 7 shows the relationship between relative intensity, I(T), and kT for different J values calculated using equation (3). Figure 7 also shows the experimental values superimposed on the theoretical curves. Fournier *et al* [18] has taken a spin 1/2 sample as standard for measuring the relative intensities. In the present work, the relative intensities were measured with respect



Figure 7. Variation of relative intensity (equation (3)) of EPR spectra with kT for various J values. The experimental points are superimposed. The dashed line shows the curve that fits best for the experimental values.

to the intensity at room temperature. Hence, all the curves have been scaled to a factor of 10 at room temperature (corresponding to $kT \approx 209 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The experimental data is fitted to the theoretical curves by the method of the χ^2 -test [19] (for goodness of fit—shown by the dashed line in figure 7). The best fit was found for a coupling constant of $J = 200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The value is very much higher than the value reported ($J = 24 \pm 2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) by Fournier *et al* [18] but is of the same order as for Cr³⁺ ions in ruby reported by Statz *et al* [20] and Mollenauer and Schawlow [21].

4.3. Optical absorption spectra

In general, the Cr³⁺ ions in octahedral symmetry are characterized by three spin-allowed transitions ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2g}(F)$, ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{1g}(F)$ and ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{1g}(P)$. In the present study, only two bands corresponding to the first two transitions are observed. The absorption spectrum also shows fine structure in the ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2g}(F)$ band which is due to the transitions ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{2}E_{g}(G)$ (13 945 cm⁻¹) and ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{2}T_{1g}(G)$ (14 900 cm⁻¹). These transitions are interpreted as Fano antiresonances and the transition energies are assigned to the minima rather than to the spectral peaks [22]. The crystal field parameter Dq is evaluated from the band position of ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2g}(F)$ and found to be $Dq = 1555 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The Racah

interelectronic repulsion parameter B can be evaluated using the relations [12]:

$$\frac{Dq}{B} = \frac{15(x-8)}{x^2 - 10x} \tag{4}$$

where $x = (E_1 - E_2)/Dq$.

Here E_1 and E_2 represent the energies of ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{1g}(F)$ and ${}^{4}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2g}(F)$ transitions respectively. Using equation (4), the Dq/B-ratio was found to be ≈ 2.16 which leads to a *B*-value of about 720 cm⁻¹. The *C*-value is evaluated using the relation given by Rasheed *et al* [23]:

$$\frac{C}{B} = \frac{1}{3.05} \left[\frac{E(^2E)}{B} - 7.9 + 1.8 \left(\frac{B}{Dq} \right) \right]$$
(5)

which results in a value of $C \approx 2904 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Adam *et al* [24] gave the expressions to solve the above parameters. Table 2 lists the band positions calculated using the Adam equations [24] for $Dq = 1555 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $B = 720 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $C = 2904 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ along with the observed band positions. These values are consistent with the values reported by Seeber *et al* [3].

Table 3 gives the crystal field parameter Dq and Racah parameters B and C for Cr^{3+} ions in different glasses reported in the literature. The ratio Dq/B for all the glasses is also shown. From the table, it is evident that the Dq/B-value is smaller for silicate glasses than the fluorophosphate, sulphate and lead silicate glasses.

Table 3. Crystal field parameters (Dq) and Racah parameters (B and C) for Cr^{3+} ions in different host glasses.

| Dq (cm ⁻¹) | <i>B</i> (cm ⁻¹) | C (cm ⁻¹) | Dq/B | Reference |
|---------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| 1552 | 734 | | 2.11 | [3] |
| 1553 | 721 | _ | 2.15 | [3] |
| 1522 | 708 | 3356 | 2.15 | [8] |
| 1569 | 641 | 3095 | 2.45 | [9] |
| 1565 | 855 | 2904 | 1.83 | [12] |
| 1543 | 810 | 2932 | 1.9 | [22] |
| 1555 | 759 | 2609 | 2.05 | [23] |
| 1531 | 721 | 3461 | 2.12 | [24] |
| 1563 | 728 | 3438 | 2.15 | [24] |
| 1595 | 585 | 3189 | 2.73 | [25] |
| 1552 | 770 | 3165 | 2.02 | [26] |
| 1555 | 720 | 2904 | 2.16 | Present work |
| | $\begin{array}{c} Dq\\ ({\rm cm}^{-1})\\ 1552\\ 1553\\ 1522\\ 1569\\ 1565\\ 1543\\ 1555\\ 1531\\ 1563\\ 1595\\ 1552\\ 1552\\ 1555\\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c c} Dq & B \\ (\rm cm^{-1}) & (\rm cm^{-1}) \\ \hline 1552 & 734 \\ 1553 & 721 \\ 1522 & 708 \\ 1569 & 641 \\ 1565 & 855 \\ 1543 & 810 \\ 1555 & 759 \\ 1531 & 721 \\ 1563 & 728 \\ 1595 & 585 \\ 1552 & 770 \\ 1555 & 720 \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c cccc} Dq & B & C \\ (\rm cm^{-1}) & (\rm cm^{-1}) & (\rm cm^{-1}) \\ \hline 1552 & 734 & \\ 1553 & 721 & \\ 1522 & 708 & 3356 \\ 1569 & 641 & 3095 \\ 1565 & 855 & 2904 \\ 1543 & 810 & 2932 \\ 1555 & 759 & 2609 \\ 1531 & 721 & 3461 \\ 1563 & 728 & 3438 \\ 1595 & 585 & 3189 \\ 1552 & 770 & 3165 \\ 1555 & 720 & 2904 \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

^a Notations are those used in the references cited.

The free ion *B*-value [27] of Cr^{3+} ion is $B = 918 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. In the present case, we observed a *B*-value of 720 cm⁻¹, which suggests that the bonding between the transition metal ion (Cr^{3+}) and the ligand is an ionic one.

The bonding can also be predicted from the expression given by Seeber et al [3].

$$h = [(B_{free} - B)/B_{free}]/k_{Cr^{3+}}$$
(6)

where *h* and *k* are nephelauxetic functions of the ligands and central metal ion respectively. For the Cr^{3+} ion, the value of k = 0.21 [28]. In the present work, we obtained a value of h = 1.08. This value is close to the value reported for Cr^{3+} ions surrounded by six H₂O molecules [28] which also suggests that the bonding between Cr^{3+} and the ligands in the present work is ionic.

5. Conclusions

(1) EPR spectra of Cr^{3+} ions in fluorophosphate glasses were studied at room and low temperatures. The EPR spectra exhibit two resonances at $g \approx 4.67$ and $g \approx 2.0$ which are attributed to the isolated Cr^{3+} ions and exchange coupled Cr^{3+} pairs respectively.

(2) The absolute number of spins were calculated using $CuSO_4.5H_2O$ as a standard reference. A linear relationship between $\log N$ and 1/T was established.

(3) The magnetic susceptibility χ was calculated from EPR data. A linear relationship was observed between χ and 1/T for Cr³⁺ ions.

(4) The variation of linewidth ΔH with temperature *T* was studied. It is found that as *T* increases ΔH decreases. This confirms the dipole interaction between the paired Cr³⁺ ions.

(5) The relative intensities of the EPR signal were measured at various temperatures to evaluate the exchange coupling constant J. The fit between observed and theoretical values were performed using a χ^2 -test and the best fit is found for $J = 200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

(6) The optical absorption spectrum shows four bands characteristic of Cr^{3+} ions in octahedral symmetry. From the analysis of the optical absorption bands, the crystal field and Racah parameters were evaluated.

(7) From the EPR and optical absorption spectra, it is concluded that Cr^{3+} ions have octahedral symmetry in the fluorophosphate glasses.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Professor W Seeber for kindly providing the glass samples. One of the authors (JLR) thanks the University Grants Commission (New Delhi) for financial assistance. AM thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (New Delhi) for the award of a Senior Research Fellowship.

References

- [1] Ehrt D and Seeber W 1991 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 129 19
- [2] Weber M J, Layne C, Saroyan R and Milam D 1976 Opt. Commun. 18 171
- [3] Seeber W, Ehrt D and Eberdorff-Heidepriem D 1994 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 171 94
- [4] Gan Fuxi, Deng He and Liu Huiming 1982 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 52 135
- [5] Weil J A, Bolton J R and Wertz J E 1994 Electron Paramagnetic Resonance—Elementary Theory and Practical Applications (New York: Wiley) p 498
- [6] Wong J and Angell C A 1976 Glass Structure by Spectroscopy (New York: Dekker) p 225
- [7] Landry R J, Fournier J T and Young C G 1967 J. Chem. Phys. 46 1285
- [8] Haouari M, Ben Ouada H, Maaref H, Hommel H and Legrand A P 1997 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 9 6711
- [9] Srinivasa Rao A, Lakshmana Rao J and Lakshman S V J 1993 Solid State Commun. 85 529
- [10] Dance J M, Videau J J and Portier J 1986 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 86 88
- [11] Harris E A 1987 Phys. Chem. Glasses 28 196
- [12] Casalboni M, Ciafardone V, Giuli G, Izzi B, Paris E and Prosphosito P 1996 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8 9059
- [13] Paul A and Upreti G C 1975 J. Mater. Sci. 10 1149
- [14] Ardelean I, Cozar O, Filip S, Pop V and Cenan I 1996 Solid State Commun. 100 609
- [15] Friebele E J, Wilson L K, Dozier A W and Kinser D L 1971 Phys. Status Solidi b 45 323
- [16] Baiocchi E, Mantenero A, Momo F and Sotgiu A 1980 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 37 143
- [17] Stober R and Nofz M 1994 Glass Tech. Ber. Glass Sci. Technol. 67 156
- [18] Fournier J T, Landry R J and Bartram R H 1971 J. Chem. Phys. 55 2522
- [19] Fisher R A 1970 Statistical Methods for Research Workers (Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd) p 78
- [20] Statz H, Rimai L, Weber M J, de Mars G A and Koster G F 1961 J. Appl. Phys. 32 218S
- [21] Mollenauer L F and Schawlow A L 1968 Phys. Rev. 168 309
- [22] Capobianco J A, Raspa N, Cormier G, Monteil A and Boulon G 1990 Chem. Phys. Lett. 170 467

- [23] Rasheed F, O'Donnell K P, Henderson B and Hollis D B 1991 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 3 1915
- [24] Adam J L, le Gall P and Lucas J 1990 Phys. Chem. Glasses 31 209
- [25] Lakshmana Rao J, Sreedhar B, Rama Chandra Reddy M and Lakshman S V J 1989 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 111 228
- [26] Andrews L J, Lempicki A and McCollum B C 1981 J. Chem. Phys. 74 5526
- [27] Konig E 1971 Structure and Bonding vol 9 ed J B Neilands, R S Nyholm, D Reinen and R J P Williams (Berlin: Springer) p 187
- [28] Jorgensen C K 1962 Absorption Spectra and Chemical Bonding in Complexes (Oxford: Plenum) p 113